

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Varginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 10/080,800 9863

02/22/2002

Didier Decker

TK3720USNA

23906

7590

08/27/2003

E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128 4417 LANCASTER PIKE WILMINGTON, DE 19805

EXAMINER

PIERCE, JEREMY R

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1771

DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

u 4 ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/080,800	DECKER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeremy R. Pierce	1771
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 F	ebruary 2002 .	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fin	al.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 🗆	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other: .

Application/Control Number: 10/080,800

Art Unit: 1771

1 6 m

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marshall (U.S. Patent No. 5,851,936).

Marshall discloses a flash spun film-fibril sheet having a basis weight between 30 and 100 grams per square meter having opacity greater than 85% (column 1, lines 55-60). The sheet is point bonded without mechanical softening (column 6, lines 16-18) and the sheet may be bonded on both sides (column 3, line 21). With regard to claim 3, Marshall discloses hydrostatic head values greater than 150 cm (column 5, Table I). With regard to claim 6, Marshall teaches using a ribbed point-bonding pattern (column 3, lines 26-30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/080,800

Art Unit: 1771

4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marshall.

Marshall discloses the elongation to be over 15% in both the machine and cross machine directions (Table I). Marshall does not disclose the claimed tensile strength, nail tear strength, and Mullenburst bursting strength. However, increasing the strength of the sheet material would be a matter of optimizing a result effective variable.

Marshall discloses that adjusting the quenching of the polymer contributes to the strength of the sheet material (column 2, lines 60-63). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to increase the strength of the sheet material of Marshall to better suit it for its intended use by adjusting the quenching of the polymer or overall thickness and weight of the sheet material, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

5. Claims 2, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marshall in view of Miller (U.S. Patent No. 4,091,137).

Marshall does not disclose the number of point bonds per centimeter or the percent area encompassed by the point bonds. However, having the bonds cover between 13 and 17% of the sheet with between 60 and 70 bond points per square centimeter is known in the art of film-fibril sheets, as shown by Miller (Abstract). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide between 60 and 70 bond points per square centimeter with a bond area between 13 and 17% to the sheet of Marshall in order to provide a film-fibril sheet with sufficient bonding strength to hold together, as shown to be known in the art by Miller.

Ma Ruddock

Application/Control Number: 10/080,800

Art Unit: 1771

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy R. Pierce whose telephone number is (703) 605-4243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7-4:30 and alternate Fridays 7-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Jeremy R. Pierce

Examiner Art Unit 1771

August 25, 2003